215. Mechanistic and Synthetic Studies on the Formation of 1,2,4-Trioxanes Related to Arteannuin. Photooxygenation of a Bicyclic Dihydropyran

by Charles W. Jefford*, Ying Wang, and Gérald Bernardinelli

Department of Organic Chemistry and Laboratory of Crystallography, University of Geneva, CH-1211 Geneva 4

Dedicated to Dr. Edward C. Taylor on the occasion of his 65th birthday

(16.VIII.88)

The photooxygenation of (4R,4aS,7R)-4,4a,5,6,7,8-hexahydro-4,7-dimethyl-3H-2-benzopyran (16) was performed in i) MeOH, ii) acetaldehyde, and iii) acetone at -78° . The products obtained respectively were i) (2R)-2-](1S,4R)-4-methyl-2-oxocyclohexyl]propyl formate (17; 72% yield), ii) 17 (54.5%), (1R,4R,4aS,7R)-3,4,4a,5,6,7-hexahydro-4,7-dimethyl-1H-2-benzopyran-2-yl hydroperoxide (19; 16.7%), a 12:1 ratio of (3R, 4aR, 7R, 7aS, 10R, 11aR)-7, 7a, 8, 9, 10, 11-hexahydro-3, 7, 10-trimethyl-6H-[2]benzopyrano[1,8a-e]-1, 2, 4-trioxane (20) and its C(3)-epimer 21 (17%), together with evidence for the 1,2-dioxetane (22) originating from the addition of dioxygen to the re-re face of the double bond of 16, and iii) unidentified products and traces of 22. Addition of trimethylsilyl trifluoromethanesulfonate (Me₃SiOTf) to the acetone solution of 16 after photo-(4aR,7R,7aS,10R,11aR)-7,7a,8,9,10,11-hexahydro-3,3,7,10-tetramethyl-6H-[2]benzooxygenation afforded pyrano[1,8a-e]-1,2,4-trioxane (23, 40%). The photooxygenation of 16 in CH₂Cl₂ at -78° followed by addition of acetone and Me₃SiOTf afforded 17 (11%), 23 (59%), and (4aR,7R,7aS,10R,11aR)-7,7a,8,9,10,11-hexahydro-3,3,7,10-tetramethyl-6H-[2]benzopyrano[8a,1-e]-1,2,4-trioxane (24; 5%). Repetition of the last experiment, but replacing acetone by cyclopentanone, gave 17 (16%), (4'aR,7'R,7'aS,10'R,11'aR)-7',7'a,8',9',10',11'hexahydro-7',10'-dimethylspiro[cyclopentane-1,3'-6'H-[2]benzopyrano[1,8a-e]-1,2,4-trioxane] (25; 61%), and (4'aR,7'R,7'aS,10'R,11'aR)-7',7'a,8',9',10',11'-hexahydro-7',10'-dimethylspiro[cyclopentane-1,3'-6'H-[2]benzopyrano[8a,1-e]-1,2,4-trioxane] (26, 4%). The X-ray analysis of 23 was performed, which together with the NMR data, established the structure of the trioxanes 20, 21, 24, 25, and 26. Mechanistic and synthetic aspects of these reactions were discussed in relation to the construction of the 1,2,4-trioxane ring in arteannuin and similar molecules.

Introduction. – The photooxygenation of olefins forms a substantial, but controversial chapter in organic chemistry [1]. A long-standing question concerns the nature of the primary intermediates formed from the reaction of singlet oxygen ($^{1}O_{2}$) with olefins [2]. Depending upon the circumstances, monoolefins can follow different mechanisms producing hydroperoxides, 1,2-dioxetanes, or both. An apt example is provided by 3,4-dihydro-2*H*-pyran (1) [3]. Polar solvents favor the formation of the formyl formate 3, arising from the cleavage of the nonisolated intermediate dioxetane 2, whereas in nonpolar solvents, hydroperoxide 4 prevails, ultimately undergoing dehydration to the α,β -unsaturated ketone 5. The effect of solvent on these competing reaction courses has been rationalized in terms of the involvement of the ring O-atom in stabilizing charge development in the transition state [4]. Normally, the resulting zwitterionic peroxide 6 would imperceptibly close to the dioxetane 2. However, on the basis of precedent, proof for the existence of such an intermediate could be secured by trapping it with aldehyde [5] whereupon a trioxane 7 would be formed (*Scheme 1*).



Apart from mechanistic considerations, this trapping reaction is of synthetic potential in view of the importance of arteannuin (10). Not only is arteannuin an extremely potent antimalarial agent, but it is the only 1,2,4-trioxane found in nature [6]. Furthermore, although its synthesis has been accomplished [7], uncertainty surrounds the crucial step, the construction of the trioxane ring. A recently attempted synthesis of 10 has been based precisely on the aforementioned trapping reaction [8]. The pentenolide 8, obtained from artemisinic acid, was expected to give the zwitterionic peroxide 9 which then should add across the pendent ketone group to create the arteannuin edifice 10 (Scheme 2). In fact,



the photooxygenation of **8** was unsuccessful. The reason for failure was attributed to electron withdrawal by the lactone grouping so preventing the formation of the key peroxide **9**. Another invalidating possibility, which was not considered at the time, could have been hydroperoxidation. Finally, the conditions used may have been at fault since irradiation with a high-pressure mercury arc lamp is not appropriate for producing $^{1}O_{2}$. Consequently, we judged in worthwhile to examine a simpler bicyclic dihydropyran model in which the potentially troublesome lactone and ketone functions were omitted.

We now describe experiments with the bicyclic dihydropyran 16 in order to ascertain the best conditions for the photooxygenation in the desired sense. Acetaldehyde, acetone, and cyclopentanone are used as external traps.

Results. – Commercially available (–)-isopulegol (11) was used for the preparation of 16. It was converted *via* its p-toluenesulfonate 12 into the known 2-isopropenyl-5-methyl-cyclohexanecarboxylic acid (13; *Scheme 3*). Oxidative hydroboration furnished the lac-



tone 14 which, on reduction to the lactol 15, provided the dihydropyran 16 on dehydration. The overall yield for $11 \rightarrow 16$ was 41%. These modifications are controlled by the chirality of the starting material so that 16 possesses three chiral centers which are the same as those in arteannuin.

Singlet oxygen was generated and allowed to react with 16 under different sets of conditions. Firstly, photooxygenation was tried at -78° using rose bengal (RB) as sensitizer and MeOH as solvent. A single product was obtained in 72% yield, the oxo formate 17, whose identity was confirmed by independent synthesis from the enantiomerically pure diol 18 (Scheme 4, a).

Secondly, the photooxygenation was performed under the previous conditions, but using excess acetaldehyde as solvent. This time, in addition to the oxo formate 17



(54.5%), the allylic hydroperoxide **19** (16.7%) was obtained, together with a pair of epimeric 1,2,4-trioxanes **20** and **21** in a 12:1 ratio (17%) in which a molecule of acetaldehyde had been incorporated (*Scheme 4, b*). The NMR spectrum of the reaction mixture also revealed the presence of a 1,2-dioxetane, probably **22**.

Thirdly, photooxygenation was repeated just as before, but using excess acetone as solvent. No trace of product containing entrapped acetone was found. Instead, TLC of the crude material again revealed the formation of an unstable compound, assumed to be 22, since it gave a positive reaction when treated with a starch/KI solution. When trimethylsilyl trifluoromethanesulfonate (Me₃SiOTf) was subsequently added to the mixture at -78° , 1,2,4-trioxane 23 incorporating one molecule of acetone was obtained in 40% yield as well as other unidentified products (*Scheme 4*, c).

Fourthly, in order to corroborate the formation of the acetone product, the photooxygenation of 16 was optimized by using methylene blue (MB) in CH_2Cl_2 at -78° . To the resulting cold mixture, excess acetone and Me₃SiOTf were added. Workup afforded the oxo formate 17 in 11% yield and two isomeric products incorporating a molecule of acetone, 23 and 24, in yields of 59 and 5% (*Scheme 4, d*). Fortunately, the major isomer 23 provided a crystal suitable for X-ray. Its structure was determined to be a 1,2,4-trioxane having the same absolute configuration as the corresponding part of arteannuin (*Fig.*).



Figure. Stereoscopic view of the structure of compound 23

By comparison of the NMR spectra, which were very similar, the gross structure of the minor isomer 24 was deduced as being the same as 23 except that the sequence of O-atoms was reversed.

The two aforementioned events, photooxygenation and condensation, proceeded equally well with cyclopentanone. Again, two 1,2,4-trioxanes, the spirocyclic isomers 25 and 26, were formed in 61 and 8% yield, respectively (Scheme 5).



Discussion. – The present results resemble those obtained from molecules sharing similar structural features such as 3,4-dihydro-2H-pyrans [3] and enol ethers [9][10], in that the product composition is a reflection of solvent polarity. However, there are important differences (*vide infra*). In MeOH, which is polar and chemically inert under the conditions of photooxygenation, the bicyclic pyran 16 behaves typically. Only the cleavage product 17 is produced offering proof for the finite existence of the dioxetane 22, presumably resulting from the zwitterionic peroxide 27 (*Scheme 6*). No hydroperoxide is detected, although it might have been expected to be a minor reaction component.



On performing the photooxygenation in pure acetaldehyde, evidence for the presumed precursor to the dioxetane 22, namely the zwitterionic peroxide 27 or its operational equivalent, is provided by the formation, albeit to a minor extent, of the epimeric trimethyl-1,2,4-trioxanes 20 and 21. The overall structure and the assignment of configuration at the C(3) atoms of 20 and 21 follow from the characteristic chemical shifts of the Me groups taken together with the absolute configuration of the tetramethyl analogue 23 which was determined by X-ray (*vide infra*). Hence ${}^{1}O_{2}$ only attacks the *re-re* face of the double bond of 16. In principle, the O₂ molecule could attach itself either to the C(8a) or C(1) atoms or even to both, thereby generating the isomeric dihydropyranium peroxides 27, 29, and the perepoxide 28 (*Scheme 7*). As all three zwitterionic species are interconvertible, their reality can only be defined in terms of their capture. Acetaldehyde is probably sufficiently electrophilic to trap any of them, but discriminates in favor of 27.



Union of the two molecules occurs through two limiting orientations, designated as 'anti' and 'syn', which lead to the 3,5-trans- and 3,5-cis-trioxanes 20 and 21 (trioxane numbering), respectively (Scheme 7). The 12:1 ratio observed is undoubtedly dictated by the unfavorable 1,3-diaxial non-bonded interactions experienced in the transition state arising from the 'syn' orientation. Despite the intervention of acetaldehyde as reactant, most of 27 manages to escape, but suffers its usual fate, closure to dioxetane 22 and scission to the oxo formate 17. It is worth noting that the formation and capture of 27 from 16, a 3,4-dihydro-2H-pyran, finds an exact parallel in the behavior of the enol ethers 2methoxy-8,9,10-trinorborn-2-ene and 2-(methoxymethylidene)adamantane [11].

Equally important is the polarity of acetaldehyde which should be similar to that of acetone, a solvent conducive to the 'ene' reaction. Appropriately enough, a minor amount of hydroperoxide **19** is formed. However, it is not the right isomer. In general, trisubstituted monoolefins react with ${}^{1}O_{2}$ on the more crowded side [12]. Accordingly, although both the axial H--C(4a) and H--C(8) bonds are properly aligned for efficient overlap with the π -system of the double bond, only the former bond would be expected to break. However, none of the intracyclic olefinic hydroperoxide **30** is detected and thus constitutes an important exception to the rule (*Scheme 8*). The reason for the formation of the wrong isomer **19** may reside in the equatorial nature of the developing O-C bond which means that the other atom of the O_2 molecule can only reach the closer axial H--C(8). Even if the less well stabilized zwitterionic peroxide **29** is initially formed, the same argument still holds as the only difference is the timing of the making and breaking of bonds.



In acetone, both dioxetane and hydroperoxide pathways are possible. However, the products were not identified directly. Evidently, the zwitterionic peroxide 27 is first formed, but the absence of trioxane adduct indicates that acetone is simply not electrophilic enough to capture it. This result has been observed for other enol ethers, the zwitterionic peroxides of which similarly differentiate between aldehydes and ketones [13]. Furthermore, it is entirely compatible with the general rule that tetrasubstituted olefines on ozonation do not yield secondary ozonides, or rather that carbonyl oxides, which are, after all, homologues of zwitterionic peroxides, do not add to ketones [14]. Subsequent addition of Me₃SiOTf to the photooxygenated acetone solution activates either the dioxetane 22 contained therein or the acetone molecule or even both through creation of the silyl cationic species 31 and 32 to bring about the assembly of the trioxane entity 23 (Scheme 9).

The photooxygenation step is substantially improved by using CH_2Cl_2 as solvent, which on account of its polarity strongly promotes the generation of zwitterionic peroxides and the resulting dioxetane 22. Subsequent addition of acetone with catalysis by



Me₃SiOTf gives not a single 1,2,4-trioxane as anticipated, but two isomers 23 and 24. Nevertheless, some 11% of dioxethane 22 eludes capture through cleavage to 17.

As the absolute configuration of 23 is established by X-ray, it serves as the structural yardstick for the other trioxanes. The three six-membered rings in 23 are all disposed as chairs. The same arrangement can be assumed for the othe trioxanes. The prochiral Me groups located at C(3) in 23 are easy to distinguish. The (pro-S)-Me group is 'syn'-axial and close to the pyran O-atom and therefore deshielded [15] (1.73 ppm) with respect to the (pro-R)-Me group (1.29 ppm) which lies in an uncrowded equatorial position (Scheme 4, c). These chemical shifts are markers for the epimeric trimethyl trioxanes 20 and 21 where the configurations at C(3) are assigned by analogy. The similar values for the chemical shifts of the geminal Me groups of the minor tetramethyltrioxane isomer 24 (1.37 and 1.47 ppm) are explicable by the reverse O-atom order which now places the (pro-S)-Me group 'syn'-axial to the nonpolar, and therefore ineffectual, ring CH, group (Scheme 4, d). The correctness of the overall structure of 24 is also corroborated by the almost identical values of the chemical shift for the proton of the O-CH-O group of 24 and 23 (5.12 and 4.95 ppm, resp.). The origin of 24 must arise from the alternative mode of opening of the dioxetane ring in 22 by Me₃SiOTf to give the silvl derivative 33 of the peroxide 29 which then condenses with acetone in the logical electronic sense (Scheme 10). The formation of this odd trioxane is reminiscent of the behavior of 1,3-dimethylindole on photooxygenation in the presence of acetaldehyde [16].

Cyclopentanone displays the same mechanistic pattern as acetone with respect to 16 and requires no particular comment.



However, comment is necessary on the enol ether 34, which has features in common with 16. Photooxygenation of 34 using RB in THF solution containing acetaldehyde [17] was reported to give a pair of epimeric methoxy-1,2,4-trioxanes 35 having the (S) configuration at C(3) in a combined yield of 35% (Scheme 11). In contrast, photo-oxygenation in MeOH and acetaldehyde followed by acidification gave a 15% yield of the deethano-arteannuin 36 in which the C(3) atom has the (R) configuration. From previous experience [18] a pair of diastereoisomers would be expected for 35, but epimeric



at C(3). The primary event would have been formation of the zwitterionic peroxide corresponding to 34 and its capture by acetaldehyde in the 'anti' and 'syn' orientations. Interestingly, trioxane 36 is the oxo analogue of the major trioxane 20 obtained from 16. In both cases, the C(3) atom has the (R) configuration. Clearly, 36 was obtained from either the zwitterionic peroxide or the protonated dioxetane derived from 34 followed by addition of acetaldehyde in the 'anti' orientation (cf. Scheme 7).

Conclusion. – These results demonstrate that the formation of the 1,2,4-trioxane ring depends critically on the conditions of photooxygenation, namely the choice of solvent, temperature, and catalyst. In the particular instance of the bicyclic pyran 16, which constitutes an essential part of the arteannuin molecule, a convenient procedure has been devised for the adjunction of a molecule of O_2 with aldehydes and ketones which will provide a range of deethano-arteannuin derivatives in good yield.

Other examples of the present technology for constructing the 1,2,4-trioxane ring in arteannuin-like molecules as well as arteannuin itself will be reported elsewhere.

We are indebted to the Swiss National Science Foundation (grant No. 2.632-0.87) for partial support of this work.

Experimental Part

I. General. All solvents were distilled prior to use. TLC: silica gel, 60 F254 (Merck). Column chromatography: silica gel 60 (230–400 mesh, Merck). Reichert hot-stage microscope; uncorrected. IR spectra (in cm⁻¹): Perkin-Elmer-681 spectrometer. ¹H-NMR spectra: Bruker-WH-360 spectrometer; chemical shifts in ppm relative to internal TMS (= 0 ppm, coupling constants J in Hz. MS (in m/z (rel. %)): Finnigan GC/MS 4023 using the INCOS data system. Elemental analyses were carried out by Dr. H.J. Eder, Service de Microchimie, Institut de Chimie Pharmaceutique, University of Geneva.

Procedure for Photooxygenation. The soln. to be photooxygenated was cooled to -78° by a dry ice/acetone bath and irradiated by a 500-W Sylvania-FFX halogen-tungsten filament lamp screened with a UV cut-off filter (> 418 nm) while O₂ was passed through the soln. (TLC monitoring).

2. (+)-(4 R, 4a S, 7 R, 8a S)-3,4,4a,5,6,7,8,8a-Octahydro-4,7-dimethyl-1H-2-benzopyran-1-one (14). To a soln. of 2-(isopropenyl)-5-methylcyclohexane-1-carboxylic acid (13; 1.95 g, 10.7 mmol; prepared from the *p*-toluenesulfonate 12 of (-)-isopulegol (11) [19]). in THF (100 ml) was added dropwise 9-borabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane (9-BBN) (*Aldrich*, 0.5M in hexane; 45 ml, 22.5 mmol) under N₂. After stirring for 24 h, NaOH (6N, 10 ml) was added portionwise followed by slow addition of H₂O₂ (30%, 10 ml). The mixture was stirred at 50° for 1.5 h and diluted with Et₂O. The org. layer was washed twice with dil. brine. Next the aq. layers were combined, acidified, and extracted with Et₂O. The Et₂O soln. was stirred overnight with a few crystals of TsOH · H₂O. Evaporation followed by chromatography of the crude oil on silica gel gave 14 as a colorless oil (1.87 g, 95%), which crystallized on standing. M. p. 48-50°. [α]²⁰ = +23.4 (c = 0.07, CHCl₃). ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 4.35 (dd, J = 11, 4.2, 1 H); 4.08 (dd, J = 11, 3.8, 1 H); 2.30 (dm, J = 13, 1 H); 2.17 (dt, J = 12, 3.5, 1 H); 2.02 (m, 1 H); 1.75 (dm, 1 H); 1.67 (m, 2 H); 1.40

(m, 1 H); 1.26 (dq, J = 13, 3.8, 1 H); 1.0–0.85 (m, 2 H); 0.99 (d, J = 7.5, 3 H); 0.91 (d, J = 6.5, 3 H). IR (CHCl₃): 1725*s*. MS: 182 (100, M^{+}), 167, 152, 140, 123, 109, 96, 81, 68. Anal. calc. for C₁₁H₁₈O₂: C 72.49, H 9.95; found: C 72.53, H 10.01.

3. (4R,4aS,7R)-4,4a,5,6,7,8-Hexahydro-4,7-dimethyl-3 H-2-benzopyran (16). A soln. of 14 (500 mg, 2.75 mmol) in toluene (100 ml) was reduced to 90 ml by distillation and then cooled to -70° . DIBAL (1M in hexane; 6.8 ml, 2.5 equiv.) was added dropwise under N₂. The reaction was usually complete within 2 h as shown by TLC. The resulting mixture was poured into 2N H₂SO₄/ice and extracted with Et₂O. Drying (Na₂SO₄) and evaporation gave a residue which was dissolved in benzene (100 ml). The soln. was heated under reflux with TsOH·H₂O (15 mg) to remove H₂O azeotropically from the intermediate lactol 15 (TLC monitoring). After 3 h, the soln. was cooled and passed over a column of silica gel (10 g). Evaporation gave pure 16 as a colorless oil which solidified on standing (442 mg, 97%). A sample for analysis was obtained by short-path evaporation (40°/0.02 Torr). M.p. 35-37°. IR (CDCl₃): 1675m. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 6.2 (m, 1 H); 3.72 (dd, J = 10, 3, 1 H); 3.52 (dd, J = 10, 8.5, 1 H); 2.07 (m, 3 H); 1.95 (m, 1 H); 1.77 (m, 1 H); 1.68 (m, 1 H); 1.50 (tm, J = 11, 1 H); 1.34 (m, 1 H); 1.13-0.85 (m, 3 H); 0.87 (d, J = 4.5, 6 H). MS: 166 (100, M⁺), 151, 137, 124, 109, 95, 81, 67. Anal. calc. for C₁₁H₁₆O: C 79.47, H 10.91; found: C 79.27, H 10.88.

4. Photooxygenation of 16. 4.1. In the Presence of MeOH. A soln. of 16 (50 mg, 0.3 mmol) in dry MeOH (20 ml) containing rose bengal (RB; 5 mg) was cooled and photooxygenated for 5 h. After allowing the mixture to warm to 0°, MeOH was evaporated and the residue purified by chromatography on a silica-gel column (hexane/AcOEt 9:1); 43 mg (72%) of (2 R)-2-[(1S,4R)-4-methyl-2-oxocyclohexyl]propyl formate (17) as a colorless oil. IR (CDCl₃): 3020w, 1720s, 1185s, 910m. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 8.04 (d, J = 1, CHO); 4.14 (ddd, J = 11, 5, 1 H); 4.05 (ddd, J = 11, 6.5, 1 H); 2.37 (dm, 1 H); 1.00 (d, J = 6.5, 3 H); 0.99 (d, J = 7, 3 H). MS: 198 (M⁺⁻), 137, 112 (100), 97, 84, 69. Anal. calc. for C₁₁H₁₈O₃: C 66.64, H, 9.15; found: C 66.47, H 9.10.

4.2. In the Presence of Acetaldehyde. A soln. of 16 (80 mg, 0.48 mmol) and RB (5 mg) in freshly distilled acetaldehyde (25 ml) was photooxygenated for 4 h (TLC monitoring). The soln. was evaporated, the residue diluted with CH_2Cl_2 /pentane 1:1 (4 ml), filtered, and evaporated. The ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) of the crude product revealed the presence of the dioxetane 22 (characteristic signals: 5.83 (s, 1 H); 4.11 (dd, J = 10.0, 7.5, 1 H); 3.99 (dd, J = 11.5, 10.0, 1 H)) 19, and 20/21. Chromatography on a silica-gel column with hexane/AcOEt 20:1 permitted the isolation of 17 (52 mg, 54.5%), 19 (16 mg, 16.7%), and 20/21 (inseparable 12:1 mixture, similar R_f values; 20 mg, 17%). The hydroperoxide nature of 19 was confirmed by a positive reaction on spraying a sample with an aq. soln. of starch/KI.

(1R,4R,4aS,7R)-3,4,4a,5,6,7-Hexahydro-4,7-dimethyl-1H-2-benzopyran-1-yl Hydroperoxide (19). ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 8.78 (br. s, 1 H); 5.74 (s, 1 H); 5.68 (s, 1 H); 4.14 (dd, J = 112, 3, 1 H); 3.55 (dd, J = 11, 2, 1 H); 0.90 (d, J = 7, 3 H); 0.88 (d, J = 7, 3 H).

(3R, 4aR, 7R, 7aS, 10R, 11aR)-7, 7a, 8, 9, 10, 11-Hexahydro-3, 7, 10-trimethyl-6H-[2]benzopyrano[1,8a-e]-1,2,4-trioxane (**20**; major isomer). ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 5.93 (q, J = 5, 1 H); 4.97 (s, 1 H); 3.68 (ddd, J = 11.4, 1 H); 3.55 (dd, J = 11, 11, 1 H); 2.79 (ddd, J = 13.4, 2, 1 H); 2.35 (m, 1 H); 1.75 (m, 1 H); 1.55–1.33 (m, 3 H); 1.21 (d, J = 5, 3 H); 1.02 (dd, J = 13, 13, 1 H); 0.98–0.82 (m, 1 H); 0.90 (d, J = 6.5, 3 H); 0.67 (d, J = 7, 1 H).

(3S, 4aR, 7R, 7aS, 10R, 11aR)-7, 7a, 8, 9, 10, 11-Hexahydro-3, 7, 10-trimethyl-6H-[2]benzopyrano[1, 8a-e]-1,2,4-trioxane (21; minor isomer). ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 5.31 (q, J = 6, 1 H); 4.90 (s, 1 H); 3.75 (dd, 1 H); 3.42 (dd, J = 10, 10, 1 H); 2.63 (ddd, J = 14.4, 1, 1 H); 1.75 (d, J = 6, 3 H); 0.90 (d, 3 H); 0.75 (d, J = 7, 3 H).

4.3. In the Presence of Acetone. Photooxygenation was repeated exactly as in 4.2, but in pure acetone (25 ml). A portion of the soln. was evaporated and the residue taken up in $CDCl_3$. Examination of the ¹H-NMR revealed signals at 5.83, 4.11, and 3.99 ppm consistent with the dioxetane **22**. Other products were present, but could not be identified; no trioxane was formed. To the remaining soln., Me₃SiOTf (0.065 ml) was added with stirring for 30 min, followed by addition of Et₃N (0.5 ml). H₂O (45 ml) was next added. Extraction with Et₂O (25 ml), drying (Na₂SO₄), and evaporation gave **23** (48 mg, 40% from **16**). Data: see 4.4.

4.4. In the Presence of CH_2Cl_2 . 4.4.1. A soln. of 16 (52 mg, 0.31 mmol) and methylene blue (MB; 5 mg) in dry CH_2Cl_2 (25 ml) was cooled and photooxygenated for 2.5 h. Next, acetone (1 ml) was added dropwise, followed by Me₃SiOTf (0.065 ml). After stirring for 30 min, Et₃N (0.5 ml) was added. The resulting soln. was poured into H₂O and diluted with CH_2Cl_2 (20 ml). The org. layer was washed with H_2O (3 × 10 ml) and dried (Na₂SO₄). After evaporation, the residue was purified on a silica-gel column with hexane/AcOEt 9:1 to give 23 (47 mg, 59%), 24 (4.1 mg, 5%), and 17 (6.5 mg, 11%).

(4a R, 7 R, 7a S, 10 R, 11a R)-7, 7a, 8, 9, 10, 11-Hexahydro-3, 3, 7, 10-tetramethyl-6 H-[2]benzopyrano[1, 8a-e]-1,2,4-trioxane (23; major isomer). Colorless crystals. M.p. 77–78° (pentane). [α]²⁰ = -132 (c = 0.06, CHCl₃). IR (CDCl₃): 3000, 2970, 2940, 2880, 1460, 1385, 1375, 1075. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 4.95 (s, 1 H); 3.63 (ddd, J = 11, 4.5, 1,

1 H); 3.43 (*dd*, J = 11, 11, 1 H); 2.67 (*ddd*, J = 13.5, 3.5, 2, 1 H); 2.34 (*m*, 1 H); 1.73 (*s*, 3 H); 1.72–1.55 (*m*, 3 H); 1.45–1.26 (*m*, 2 H); 1.29 (*s*, 3 H); 1.03 (*dd*, J = 13, 13, 1 H); 0.95–0.78 (*m*, 1 H); 0.90 (*d*, J = 6.5, 3 H); 0.68 (*d*, J = 7, 3 H). MS: 238 (M^{+}), 191, 167 (100), 149, 123, 109, 95, 81, 69, 55. Anal. calc. for C₁₄H₂₄O₄: C 65.60, H 9.44; found: C 65.67, H 9.53.

Crystallographic Data of 23. As the crystals of 23 decomposed rapidly on X-ray bombardment, they were placed in a glass capillary and sealed under Ar. Cell parameters and reflection intensities were measured at 165 K on a *Philips-PW1100* diffractometer with graphite monochromated Mo-K α radiation. Owing to the decrease in the diffracted intensities during data collection, two crystals were measured and all intensities corrected for this drift. The structure was solved by direct methods [20] and refined by full-matrix least-squares [21] with two scale factors. A summary of crystal data, intensity measurements, and structure refinement is given in the *Table*. All crystallographic data have been deposited with the *Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre*, University Chemical Laboratory, Lensfield Road, Cambridge CB2 1EW, England.

		-	
Formula	C ₁₄ H ₂₄ O ₄	$(\sin \Theta/\lambda)_{\max} [Å^{-1}]$	0.51
Molecular weight	256.3	No. of measured reflections	939
Crystal system	Orthorhombic	No. of observed reflections	728
Space group	$P2_{1}2_{1}2_{1}$	Criterion for observed	$ F_{o} > 4\sigma(F_{o})$ and $ F_{o} > 8$
Temperature [K]	165	No. of parameters	164
a [Å]	6.0759(7)	Refinement (on F)	full-matrix
b [Å]	9.2369(16)	Weighting scheme	$\omega = 1$
c [Å]	25.730(5)	H-atoms	calculated
V[Å ³]	1444.1(2)	Max. and average Δ/σ	0.017, 0.004
Ζ	4	Max. and min. $\Delta \rho$ [eÅ ⁻³]	0.34, -0.32
$D_{\rm c} [\rm g \cdot \rm cm^{-3}]$	1.18	S	3.98
F ₀₀₀	560	R[%]	5.3
$\mu [\mathrm{mm}^{-1}]$	0.079		

Table. Crystal Data, Intensity Measurement and Structure Refinement for 23

(4a R, 7R, 7a S, 10 R, 11a R)-7, 7a, 8, 9, 10, 11-Hexahydro-3, 3, 7, 10-tetramethyl-6H-f2]benzopyrano[8a,1-e]-1,2,4-trioxane (24; minor isomer). ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 5.12 (s, 1 H); 4.00 (dd, J = 11, 4.5, 1 H); 3.69 (dd, J = 11, 11, 11, 1 H); 2.55 (dm, J = 13.5, 1 H); 2.45 (m, 1 H); 1.43 (s, 3 H); 1.37 (s, 3 H); 0.93 (d, J = 6.5, 3 H); 0.78 (d, J = 7, 3 H).

4.4.2. A soln. of 16 (100 mg, 0.6 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (50 ml) containing MB (7 mg) was cooled and photooxygenated for 3 h. Cyclopentanone (1.3 ml) was added slowly, followed by Me₃SiOTf (0.2 ml). The resulting soln. was stirred at -78° for 30 min. Et₃N (1 ml) was added, the soln. poured into H₂O and the mixture diluted with CH₂Cl₂. The org. layer was washed with H₂O (3 × 20 ml), dried (NaSO₄), and evaporated. The crude product was chromatographed on a silica-gel column with hexane/AcOEt 30:1 to give 25 (104 mg, 61%), 26 (14 mg, 8%), and 17 (19 mg, 16%).

(4'a R, 7' R, 7'a S, 10' R, 11'a R) - 7', 7'a, 8', 9', 10', 11' - Hexahydro - 7', 10' - dimethylspiro[cyclopentane-1,3'-6'H-[2]benzopyrano[1,8a-e]-1,2,4-trioxane] (25; major isomer). Colorless crystals from pentane. M.p. 83–85°. $[<math>\alpha$]_D² = -148 (c = 0.04, CHCl₃). IR (CHCl₃): 3000, 2980, 2930, 2880, 1455, 1330, 1075. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 4.94 (s, 1 H); 3.63 (*ddd*, J = 13, 5, 1, 1 H); 3.44 (*dd*, J = 13, 13, 1 H); 2.75 (*ddd*, J = 14, 4, 2, 1 H); 2.64 (m, 1 H); 2.32 (m, 1 H); 1.94–1.50 (m, 10 H); 1.45–1.25 (m, 2 H); 1.02 (*dd*, J = 13, 13, 1 H); 0.94–0.80 (m, 1 H); 0.90 (d, J = 6.5, 3 H); 0.67 (d, J = 7, 3 H). MS: 166 ($M^{+-} O_2$ – cyclopentanone), 137, 112 (100), 97, 84, 69, 55. Anal. calc. for C₁₆H₂₆O₄: C 68.06, H 9.28; found: C 67.92, H 9.27.

(4'a R,7' R,7'a S,10' R,11'a R)-7',7'a,8',9',10',11'-Hexahydro-7',10'-dimethylspiro[cyclopentane-1,3'-6'H-[2]-benzopyrano[8a,1-e]-1,2,4-trioxane] (26; minor isomer). ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 5.16 (s, 1 H); 4.00 (dd, J = 11, 6, 1 H); 3.56 (dd, J = 11, 10, 1 H); 2.54 (ddd, J = 14, 4, 2.5, 1 H); 2.35 (m, 1 H); 2.04 (m, 1 H); 0.92 (d, J = 6.5, 3 H); 0.77 (d, J = 7.5, 3 H).

5. Conversion of (1S,2S,5R)-5-Methyl-2-[(1'R)-1'-methyl-2'-hydroxyethyl]cyclohexanol (18) [22] to 17. To a soln. of 18 (120 mg, 0.7 mmol) and dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (160 mg, 0.78 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (6 ml) and hexane (1 ml), HCOOH (150 mg, 3.3 mmol) was added dropwise at 0°. The mixture was stirred, allowed to warm to 25°, and stirred for another 3 h. Evaporation afforded a residue containing the primary monoformate, which was diluted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 ml) and used for the next step without further purification. The soln. of crude formate was

added to a soln. of pyridinium dichromate (PDC) prepared from Cr_2O_3 (900 mg, 9 mmol) and pyridine (1.4 ml) in CH_2Cl_2 (12 ml) at 0°. The formate remaining in the flask was washed into the PDC mixture with more CH_2Cl_2 (2 ml). The resulting mixture was stirred for 45 min, diluted with Et_2O /hexane 1:1, filtered, and evaporated. The residue was extracted several times with hexane and the soluble portion drained off. The hexane solns. were combined and evaporated to give crude 17. Chromatography on a silica-gel column with hexane/AcOEt 9:1 gave pure 17 as a colorless oil (112 mg, 81% from 18), identical in all respects to the cleavage product formed by photooxygenation (see 4.1).

REFERENCES

- H. H. Wasserman, R. W. Murray, Eds., 'Singlet Oxygen', Academic Press, New York, 1979; R. W. Denny, A. Nickon, Org. React. 1973, 133.
- [2] A.A. Gorman, I. R. Gould, I. Hamblett, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 7098; C.S. Foote, A.A. Dzakpasu, J. W. P. Lin, Tetrahedron Lett. 1975, 1247; L. E. Manring, J. Eriksen, C.S. Foote, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 4275; L. B. Harding, W. A. Goddard III, Tetrahedron Lett. 1978, 747; J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 439; A.A. Frimer, Chem. Rev. 1979, 79, 359.
- [3] A.A. Frimer, P.D. Bartlett, A.F. Boschung, J.G. Jewett, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 7977; P.D. Bartlett, A.P. Schaap, *ibid.* 1970, 92, 3223; P.D. Bartlett, G.D. Mendenhall, A.P. Schaap, Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci. 1970, 171, 79.
- [4] C.W. Jefford, Helv. Chim. Acta 1981, 64, 2534; M.J.S. Dewar, W. Thiel, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1975, 97, 3978.
- [5] C. W. Jefford, M. Ferrufino, G. Bernardinelli, unpublished results.
- [6] D.L. Klayman, Science (Washington D.C.) 1985, 228, 1049.
- M.A. Avery, C. Jennings-White, W.K. M. Chong, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1987, 28, 4629; W. Zhou, *Pure Appl. Chem.* 1986, 58, 817; X. Xu, J. Zhu, D. Huang, W. Zhou, *Tetrahedron* 1986, 42, 818; G. Schmid, W. Hofheinz, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 624.
- [8] M. Jung, H. N. ElSohly, E. M. Croom, A. T. McPhail, D. R. McPhail, J. Org. Chem. 1986, 51, 5417.
- [9] E. W. H. Asveld, R. M. Kellogg, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 3644.
- [10] C.W. Jefford, H.G. Grant, D. Jaggi, J. Boukouvalas, S. Kohmoto, Helv. Chim. Acta 1984, 67, 2210.
- [11] C.W. Jefford, J. Boukouvalas, S. Kohmoto, G. Bernardinelli, Tetrahedron 1985, 41, 2081.
- [12] V. Rautenstrauch, W. Thommen, K. H. Schulte-Elte, Helv. Chim. Acta 1986, 69, 1638.
- [13] C.W. Jefford, M.-C. Moulin, G. Bernardinelli, unpublished work.
- [14] P.S. Bailey, 'Ozonation in Organic Chemistry', Academic Press, Inc., New York, 1978, Vol. 1, p.29.
- [15] H. Günther, 'Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy', J. Wiley and Sons, New York, 1980, p. 89.
- [16] C.W. Jefford, D. Jaggi, J. Boukouvalas, S. Kohmoto, G. Bernardinelli, Helv. Chim. Acta 1984, 67, 1104.
- [17] Y. Imakura, T. Yokoi, T. Yamagishi, J. Koyama, H. Hu, D. R. McPhail, A. T. McPhail, K.-H. Lee, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1988, 372.
- [18] C.W. Jefford, J. Boukouvalas, S. Kohmoto, J. Photochem. 1984, 25, 537.
- [19] N. H. Andersen, D. W. Ladner, A. L. Moore, Synth. Commun. 1978, 437.
- [20] P. Main, S.J. Fiske, S.E. Hull, L. Lessinger, G. Germain, J.-P. Declerq, M.M. Woolfson 'A System of Computer Programs for the Automatic Solution of Crystal Structures from X-Ray Diffraction Data', Universities of York, England, and Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium.
- [21] J. M. Stewart, P. A. Machin, C. W. Dickinson, H. L. Ammon, H. Heck, H. Flack, 'The XRAY76 System', Tech. Rep. TR-446, Computer Science Center, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland.
- [22] K. H. Schulte-Elte, G. Ohloff, Helv. Chim. Acta 1967, 50, 153.